

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_110068
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Occupational Practices Among Artisanal Fisherfolks in Borgu Local Government Area, Niger State
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) The topic is interesting and important. However, the presented article is lacking coherency and consistency.</p> <p>2) The content and title do not match. Topic is on occupational practices but no explanation about occupational practices.</p> <p>3) Lack of background and confusing</p> <p>4) Yes</p> <p>5) No</p> <p>6) References are not recent. Need more recent references (2018-2023)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language used is acceptable and has least grammatical errors. However, the writing style is not following academic writing. Major improvement is needed.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Title and content do not align. - Writing style does not follow academic writing style. - References are mostly outdated and not recent. - Results and discussion are very descriptive and lack critical explanations. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Mu'az Bin Mahmud
Department, University & Country	Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia