

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109553
Title of the Manuscript:	The Implementation of Inter- Agency Task Force Guidelines in School Settings and Its Effect to Students of Davao Central College
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes .It addresses issues of community compliance to national/statutory guidelines.</p> <p>2. I suggest a minor alteration.. “The Implementation of Inter- Agency Task Force Guidelines in School Settings and Its Effect to Students’ learning at Davao Central College”.</p> <p>3. Quite comprehensive though its presentation in sub-sections is unconventional.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. a) References are recent. However, there is need for consistence especially in in-text citation. Some authors have their initials included while others do not. (Please refer to the tracked changes in the manuscript).</p> <p>b) The references list should be in alphabetical order,(A-Z).</p> <p>7. Section 3: Results and Discussion. In order to integrate the Tables into the discussions and accomplish a flowing discussion, I suggest Tables be presented first then followed by respective discussions immediately below each one.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Article is written in very good English save for a few grammatical errors as marked in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Misheck Mhishi
Department, University & Country	Bindura University of Science Education, Zimbabwe