

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMPS_109705 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparative Evaluation of Lipid Accumulation Product, and Triglyceride-Glucose Indices in Apparently Healthy Subjects in Predicting Cardiovascular Risks in Port-Harcourt. |
| Type of the Article | Original Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes , but first time use of abbreviations should be associated with full forms</p> <p>Yes, but methodology should be in greater detail especially regarding all the measurements done</p> <p>Yes but with minor corrections mentioned in general comments</p> <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>1. Were any test done to exclude patients of cardiovascular disease like ECG ?</p> <p>2. In methodology, all parameters which were measured like weight, age , BP should be mentioned.</p> <p>3. "There is a negative correlation between LAP and the TyG index, a marker of insulin resistance (-0.780, p-value <0.0001), which suggests that higher LAP values are associated with increased insulin resistance.". The interpretation in this sentence seems erroneous</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Deepika Gulati Manoj |
| Department, University & Country | Army College of Medical Sciences, India |