

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109737
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation Impact of Herbicidal Weed Management Practices on Yield Attributes and Yield in irrigated Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Indeed, the manuscript has significant importance for the scientific community</p> <p>2. The title should capture all the parameters measured in the experiment: Evaluation Impact of Herbicidal Weed Management Practices on weed intensity, yield attributes and yield in irrigated Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive only that the author should include the conclusive way to go in weed control as per the findings</p> <p>4. All the sub-sections are well captured. However, the author can include a recommendation section as well as an area showing no competing interests from the authors if they are two and above.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct as it employed an experimental research design that is well explained</p> <p>6. The references are okay and hopefully the reference style is as per the journal's requirements</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language is suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gideon Kalii Muli
Department, University & Country	Uganda Martyrs University, Uganda