

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_109441</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Revolutionary Role of Trichoderma in Sustainable Plant Health Management: A Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The topic is relevant and sheds light on the biological control mechanisms of Trichoderma spp. This will help implementing biocontrol technology in the field and advance sustainable agriculture. However, you need to take time to emphasize on the novelty of the article and where it promotes progress.</li> <li>The title is good.</li> <li>The abstract is too long and includes a lot of details, and no need to explain the mechanisms. It should also clearly include the purpose of the review. The last sentences of an abstract need to concisely describe the main message or findings you want o address to the reader. Both abstract and conclusion need to be connected. Absence of key words.</li> <li>In the section number 3, the section 3.1 is missing.</li> <li>The text is lacking references, sometimes it seems that you are describing your own finding. For example, the first paragraph of section 3.2 does not include any reference!!  It is important to add more references especially when you talked about previous findings. I would also suggest an opening sentence and a concluding sentence for each paragraph to guide the reader.  Introduction should emphasize on the gap that this review will fill.</li> <li>References are not sufficient; their format and order are not consistent throughout the text. Some recent ref that you may consider : Kumar <i>et al.</i>, 2023; Yao <i>et al.</i>, 2023; Herrera-Téllez <i>et al.</i>, 2019.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Yes, the language quality is acceptable for scientific communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zineb Choury</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Australia</b>