

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103316
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable agriculture is not a myth anymore-Analysis on Synergism between Arthospira platensis and Bacillus subtilis
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>A lot of work has been done on B. Subtilis as a bio-fertilizer. The Author should concentrate more on the synergies</p> <p>The Author should consider changing the title to 'Arthospira platensis and Bacillus subtilis synergies for sustainable agriculture' This will make sound more scientific The abstract is ok The methodology is not well articulated. The Author should reconsider the use of bullets and use continuous pros method of writing</p> <p>Most of it is literature review especially on Arthospira References are ok</p> <p>Bacillus cereus has also been studied as a bio-fertilizer. The author needs to explain why it is pathogenic and the need to eliminate it. What is it pathogenic too</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>With the above being taken into account, the article can be improved for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elizabeth Wangui Muoria
Department, University & Country	Egerton University, Kenya