

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_109725
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF FOOD PROCESSING ON THE VITAL NUTRIENTS PRESENT IN THE FOOD: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The review is important to scientific community specially dedicated to food technologies. I consider that the Review is somewhat extensive but it analyzes the effect of the different and innovative technologies used in food processing.</p> <p>The Title is suitable</p> <p>The abstract of the article es comprehensive</p> <p>The manuscript has the subsections and the structure appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically and methodologically corrected</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent</p> <p>Some comments are included in the text of the Manuscript</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of English is suitable for the communication of the information of the Manuscript</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The Manuscript complies with the number of words allowed by the Journal for the Reviews. I suggest reviewing the references from a formal point of view.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olga del Rosario Valdes Iglesias
Department, University & Country	Science Academy of Cuba, Cuba