

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_109051
Title of the Manuscript:	Training on good practices for start-up, use and maintenance of processing equipment in a cereal processing unit in the Mouhoun Province of Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. But it has to be restructured for clarity and empirical content if it has to be useful for scientific community.</p> <p>The procedural approach for the start-up, use and maintenance of cereal processing equipment: A veritable tool for technological pedagogue in the Mouhoun Province of Burkina Faso.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is not comprehensive enough. The basis of the conducted test and the result obtained should be included in the report.</p> <p>The subsections and structure, especially the methodology of the manuscript should be modified.</p> <p>The manuscript portrays procedures or operational methods, it is ok. But the class size of the trained pernnel, the test administered and the outcome should be included.</p> <p>The references may be beefed up with more recent works if they exist.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the language/English in the article should be improved on.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olusola K. Akinde
Department, University & Country	First Technical University, Nigeria