

Editor's Comment:

The topic of this manuscript is interesting.

However, the original manuscript and the revised manuscript are almost similar.

The author not corrected to follow the reviewer's suggestion.

The suggestions are showed as below.

As reviewer 1 mentioned

"the abstract is too long and generic."

" the paper is poorly structured. "

"Inadequate old references."

And reviewer 2 mentioned

"That is, many concepts and figures need to be supported to be clearer and streamlined."

"There is no methodology clearly discussed."

"The discussion is fair because it does not contain any clear evidence from the sample of reports, etc...???"

"Some citations are not in your ref list."

"The conclusion is therefore not adequate..."

"Limitations are not reported??? Must add"

"Review carefully your references from consistency, completeness, and to match the Journal's requirements... Add references suggested in the text to validate statements and concepts, some were added to help. Add the missing citations here as well Marked in the text."

Therefore, I think we should reject this manuscript although this manuscript had an interesting topic.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Ming-Chih Shih
Professor, Chinese Culture University, Taiwan.