

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_108984
Title of the Manuscript:	Serological Survey of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus -2 (Sars-Cov-2) Among Frontline Health Workers in Northwest Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, this manuscript has some importance to the public health field as it tries to assess the effectiveness of serological tests in COVID-19 surveillance among health workers.</p> <p>2- Yes, the title is suitable but I would suggest to write the virus name as "SARS-CoV-2".</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4- Yes, the manuscript is structured in appropriate way.</p> <p>5- Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct but I have concerns regarding the vaccination status of the participants on the results of serological testing.</p> <p>6- The used references look recent and sufficient. However, some paragraphs and sentences were left with no citation.</p> <p>7- In the results subsection of the abstract, authors should change "among the study population" into "among the study sample".</p> <p>8- In the materials and methods, authors should mention the time of conducting the study.</p> <p>9- How the authors conclude that the sample size should be 400 volunteers.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Writing quality is good but some mistakes in punctuation must be corrected.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq</b>