

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_109888
Title of the Manuscript:	Multigenerational Roles of Filipino Women by Male Short Story Writers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. The manuscript explores multigenerational roles of Filipino women belonging to five eras very systematically. The author has succeeded in analysing the theme logically by referring to the prevailing social conditions and the roles played by women. The changing roles of women in different generations, as described by the male short story writers, are critically and scientifically defined by the author.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable but seems incomplete. It could better be as "Analytical Study of Multigenerational Roles of Filipino Women by Male Short Story Writers".</p> <p>3. Yes. The abstract of the article seems comprehensive. It gives an outline of the article and is sufficient enough to create curiosity in the reader to peep into the theme in detail.</p> <p>4. The manuscript has been divided into various subsections. These evaluate the theme logically and lead towards thematic conclusion appropriately. In short, the article is well-structured and the thought has been developed with critical investigation of the roles played by Filipino women in different periods.</p> <p>5. The author has cited textual passages wherever necessary, and examined the theme extensively as it needs to be done in a research paper. The author compares and contrasts Filipino women in different periods scientifically. It generates the sense of close reading of the selected short stories. Hence, I feel that the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes. The references are aptly given. They are sufficient and recent too.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes. The author has examined the theme in appropriate language. All the aspects of the theme have been described lucidly and effectively. The proper use of figurative language and use of imagery gives additional beauty to the article. The stylistic and linguistics aspects are sufficient to make it a literary piece.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author seems to have worked upon the theme very seriously. The article is very informative and inspiring for the upcoming research scholars who wish to explore the theme further.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shriram Govinda Gahane
Department, University & Country	Adarsh Arts and Commerce College, India