

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_109888
Title of the Manuscript:	Multigenerational Roles of Filipino Women by Male Short Story Writers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, this manuscript explains the role of the Filipino women and their characteristics that are shown by the male writers. This could be elaborated more with the help of feminist point of you that was mentioned in the theoretical framework.</p> <p>2. Mutigenerational Portrait (or Portrayal) of Filipino Women by Male Short Story Writers</p> <p>3. Yes, It is quite comprehensible. However, the reason behind choosing the Male Writers is not justified with the explanation.</p> <p>4. Yes, It seems appropriate. The characteristics discussed in the three sections can be justified with some other evidence besides given quotation from the short stories.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes. Reference can be added to the points discussed in the theoretical framework.</p> <p>(The above-mentioned comments are very subjective. If the researcher feels his points are genuine, he or she shall proceed with it)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. Few simple sentences which are very short in length can be changed into complex sentences.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This paper focuses more upon the generic view of study yet it is useful. It can be explained with sociological perspective instead of feminist perspective. More number of works by the male writers can be compared with the female writers content to show the significance of choosing the male writers.</p> <p>The researcher's work is really appreciated in terms of collecting data and using it wisely with appropriate language.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R Ravindran
Department, University & Country	PSG Institute of Technology and Applied Research, India