

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_109888
Title of the Manuscript:	Multigenerational Roles of Filipino Women by Male Short Story Writers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. I think this article is important, because it reviews literary works over time (multi-generational). This provides a comprehensive point of view, shows a picture of how society was at that time and will contribute to knowledge, especially literature, for future writers.</p> <p>2. I think the title appropriately describes the contents of the manuscript</p> <p>3. The abstract has been written quite completely, but I think the writer should be added how many short stories were reviewed and the reasons for choosing these authors as material</p> <p>4. subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. In my opinion, the author can add research methods to literature study methods and for analysis, it is stated in the abstract that the author analyzes based on two major critical approaches to literature: feminist literary criticism and new criticism. but the discussion section does not indicate where the theory is used, the author should add quotations from the bibliography in the discussion</p> <p>6. The references is complete and relevant</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, it's suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In general, this article is quite complete and has contributed to knowledge in the field of literature	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erika Agung Mulyaningsih
Department, University & Country	Stikes PemkabJombang, Indonesia