

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_108915
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF NOVEL AQUAFEED SOFTWARE ON THE PRODUCTION OF AFRICAN CATFISH, CLARIAS GARIEPINUS (BURCHELL 1822) IN EARTHEN PONDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The chosen study topic is indeed intriguing and holds great potential. However, if the goal is to publish it as a research article in a journal, substantial revisions are necessary to address the numerous major flaws that have been identified. Furthermore, it is essential for the authors to give meticulous attention to presenting the data in a well-organized manner and utilize a proper way of addressing the facts.</p> <p>The current topic is suitable for the publication.</p> <p>The abstract is properly organized.</p> <p>Yes, Authors have provided suitable subsections and structures.</p> <p>Yes, however, authors need to arrange their findings correctly and easier to understand for the readers to provide a validity for the results. Abstract should be arranged properly. Authors should provide limitation and future recommendation clearly in the manuscript. Therefore, I would suggest that authors pay careful attention to the results and discussion section to arrange the manuscript without flaws properly.</p> <p>Please double check.</p> <p>Furthermore, the authors should also focus on improving the writing style to avoid any ambiguous interpretations</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Other Minor comments and suggestions are provided with the manuscript with track changes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Authors have to adhere to journal guidelines carefully and strictly. Therefore, please recheck the journal guidelines and references inside the paper to make all these correct properly. Reference should be rechecked and arranged into one format. Some are indifferent arrangements, please make all those correct.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	H. K. S. de Zoysa
Department, University & Country	Rajarata University of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka