

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_108915
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF NOVEL AQUAFEED SOFTWARE ON THE PRODUCTION OF AFRICAN CATFISH, CLARIAS GARIEPINUS (BURCHELL 1822) IN EARTHEN PONDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes after few corrections</p> <p>suggested Changes COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT STUDIES ON DIFFERENT FEED FORMULATION METHODS WITH NOVEL AQUAFEED SOFTWARE, IN AFRICAN CATFISH, <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (BURCHELL 1822) FARMING IN EARTHEN PONDS</p> <p>Improvement required, as highlighted in manuscript</p> <p>Fine but Suggested to improve</p> <p>Yes, partially acceptable, After revising</p> <p>References are very less, suggested to add more relevant studies references</p> <p>1. No where single photo/figure of African catfish fingerlings found in paper, suggested to add original photos of species at starting and end of this study period. 2. Good quality photos of experimental pond and feeds ingredients used for this study. 3. environmental conditions, study area map or at least geo-coordinates 4. Table.6 cost of feed ingredients: unit quantity (gm or kg or tons) price not clear for specific method, as price per unit quantity is same, unless changes in quantity of specific ingredients for particular feed formulation methods& treatments in present study. 5. Table.7 unit cost of quantity (gm or kg) for grinding 6. Table.8 cost of feed ingredients, quantity wise, units (gm or kg) not specified 7. Not given key words</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, partially acceptable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1) Detailed procedure/SOP/Flowchart of software algorithms used for this study 2) Aqua feed software not highlighted detailed ingredients nutritional composition and anti nutritional factors eg: Amino acids and other minor nutritional factors affecting the weight gains within short time</p> <p>3) Changes in environmental conditions, Water quality parameters, length and weight gains recommended specify with weekly changes</p> <p>4) Constraints in applications at field level and scope for further improvement</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhukya Bhaskar
Department, University & Country	RGCA, India