

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_109635
Title of the Manuscript:	Consumer Perceptions and Purchase Intentions towards Organic Foods: Evidence from Eastern Mediterranean Region of Türkiye
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the topic is interesting.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is well organized.</p> <p>Yes, but the main concern is the lack of recent studies. The study included the studies till 2020 and now it is almost the end of 2023. Many new studies would have emerged between these 3 years, which makes me afraid regarding the significance and conclusions of the derived relationships.</p> <p>No, the references are not sufficient. Need to improve the literature review otherwise, this study will be considered outdated. No references after 2020. Majorly, the supported references are before 2017. Need to re-read the latest papers in the intended area and then frame the discussion and conclusion.</p> <p>Overall, the author(s) are required to again revisit the latest papers in your domain and also research methodology should be re-written clearly highlighting the justification of using the different statistical techniques such as factor analysis, one-way ANOVA, t-test, etc.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Proof-reading is required and correct the grammatical errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anupreet Kaur Mokha
Department, University & Country	SGTB Khalsa College, University of Delhi, India