

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	
Title of the Manuscript:	Profits Analysis of the Sheep Breeding Business in Bulu Cina Village, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>If corrected accordingly yes. The full comments (which highlight line by line) are provided in detail in the manuscript itself. So please use that one for correction</p> <p>It might be modified according to the comment given in the paper</p> <p>Need improvement</p> <p>Not at all.</p> <p>It has to be amended</p> <p>Yes. No discussions at all, these findings have to be communicated with similar works</p> <p>The full detail is stated in the manuscript, the author became advantages and can learn more if that one is considered.</p> <p>In all, it needs rewriting, (poor method, mixing of some method parts in the result section, poor table presentation, no statistical tests were carried out, no discussions. Some conclusions were drawn without full evidence. Thus, the author/s must have enough time to enrich it.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It needs improvement	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>There are no discussions at all, the findings are not well articulated. How the data is collected, and what types of data and questionnaires were used? It is also important to talk about the sheep business in the village, when was it started? By which gender? And which sheep breed?</p> <p>All the comments in the manuscripts have to be considered.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tesfalem Aseged</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ethiopian Biodiversity Institute, Ethiopia</b>