

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111070
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic characterization and variability analysis of Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i>) elite germplasm under different cropping conditions.
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is</p> <p>2. It is. It covers all the aspects that are contained in the article</p> <p>3. The abstractive is comprehensive enough but I will suggest some modifications below.</p> <p>4. The subsections and general structure of the research article do appropriately cover the various contents therein.</p> <p>5. It is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are fairly up to date and thus indicate an understanding of the current trends in the field by the author.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is suitable as it communicates the contents very well.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I would suggest that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the Abstract the author removes the Table numbers. 2. The author italicises all the scientific names like <i>Cicer arietinum</i> L whenever they are found in the article. 3. The author as well italicises et al., whenever found in the article. 4. The author as well indicates the citations of the R statistical software as well as for the R studio software. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Denis Misiko Mukhongo
Department, University & Country	Alupe University, Kenya