

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_109816
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ETHNO-BOTANICAL SURVEY OF MEDICINAL PLANTS USED FOR THE TREATMENT OF AFRICAN ANIMAL TRYPANOSOMOSIS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript on the survey of ethno-botanical medicinal plants used for treatment of African Animal Trypanosomosis reveals different variety and number of medicinal plants that can be harnessed for development of medicaments. Interesting as the questionnaire distribution among the community is good and include people of all age groups. This manuscript imparts comprehensive ethano-botanical plants that can be used as alternative to antimicrobials against African Animal Trypanosomosis.</p> <p>To make the article title interesting, suggestion for new title is "Ethano-Botanical Survey: Exploring Indigenous Remedies for African Animal Trypanosomosis in Nigeria"</p> <p>The quality of abstract is average but there are lots of grammatical errors in the abstract. The errors and suggestions are listed below in general comments.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript is good.</p> <p>The manuscript contains scientifically accurate information but lacks effective knowledge dissemination due to unnecessary repetition and wordiness in many sentences. Streamlining the language could significantly enhance the clarity and impact of the content.</p> <p>Author has used the recent references. References are sufficient for the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of English is very poor and is not suitable for the scholarly communications. Specially the result part, the table of the results are appropriate but the other section do not justify the scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript can be accepted after the serious major corrections. The english of the manuscript is very poor and many sentences are repeatedly written. Abstract: Reframe this section and once abbreviation is written use abbreviated word in whole manuscript (African Animal Trypanosomiasis- AAH). Do not initiate the sentence with 15/78, alternatives are fifteen, seventy-eight or reframe the sentences.</p> <p>Introduction: Needs to be improved and more emphasis should be given on "Why herbal medication is preferred over other medicines (with references)?" The introduction part is deficit and need to be given precise and valuable information.</p> <p>Keywords: Ethno-botanical other than ethnobotanical.</p> <p>Materials and Methods: Instrument of study is average but the area of study is described more than required. Latitude and longitude are fine but unnecessary information like postal code, wind speed, government administrator centre etc., this should be removed from manuscript. Instrument</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>of study is written twice once in beginning of manuscript and before the sampling technique, either use one or merge them both.</p> <p>Results: Very poor scholarly communication in the result section.</p> <p>Discussion: Need to be checked for English and grammar. Otherwise, the discussion is sufficient.</p> <p>The manuscript may be subjected to acceptance after serious major revision.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pururava Sharma
Department, University & Country	Dr. G C Negi College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, India