

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106895
Title of the Manuscript:	Smart Agriculture: Technologies, Practices and Future Direction
Type of the Article	Original research

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>The abstract is Fair. You need to clearly show in addition to the purpose of your work, the methodology used, based on what resources, and state major findings and implications. Keywords are adequate</p> <p>Your paper needs to be organized as required by the Journal, otherwise, it is an essay that will not help</p> <p>Before going into the high-tech items, you need to justify the need if most farmers are poor, with problems of illiteracy, do not have much tie to technology, who supports the investments, who trains, stakeholders,... All these must be reviewed to make sense of this content!!!! Unless your paper is directed to big farms!!!</p> <p>The introduction and background are fair because these are not validated with references. That is, many concepts and figures need to be supported to be clearer and streamlined. Also, need to add the objectives and how is this paper divided!!</p> <p>There is no methodology discussed!!!</p> <p>The discussion is fair</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no clear background to whom this content is directed 2. There is no review of the status quo in India and the need for high-tech 3. There is just an essay about high-tech applications with real-life examples or where these are applied successfully or reach failure 4. You must review if any of these technologies are used in India?? After providing a good review of the status quo besides the data provided earlier <p>The conclusion is ?? To make this paper of much more benefit, you need a literature review about the applications and reported success or failure and lessons learned Limitations are not reported??? Must add</p> <p>The references are found no where in the text???? Proofreading is needed [see suggestions]</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon