

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_110639
Title of the Manuscript:	BACTERIOLOGICAL QUALITY OF VENDED LOCAL DRINKS IN AMAKOM, KUMASI - GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, This study revealed the bacteriological profile of locally made street-vended drinks which could cause various types of food-borne illnesses. However, more scientific evidence need to claim the relationship between identified bacterial strains and possible food-borne illnesses. This work is important for scientific community for futher best possible exploration of stated problem.</p> <p>2. Yes, it succinctly conveys the motive behind the study. But,the title"" BACTERIOLOGICAL QUALITY OF VENDED LOCAL DRINKS IN AMAKOM, KUMASI - GHANA can be replaced with BACTERIOLOGICAL PROFILE OF VENDED LOCAL DRINKS IN AMAKOM, KUMASI - GHANA (Optional)</p> <p>3. Yes, abstract of the article is justifying the area of study, research purpose, importance work, and major outcomes.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes, But the discussion part should have earlier relevant studies corelating with the present results and comprehensive interpretation of findings should bewell mentioned.</p> <p>6. Yes, optionally, latest reference of 2022- 2023 should be added.</p> <p>7. (a). In the section 2.2.1 (Enumeration of bacteria) the formula of Total viable count (cfu/mL) is missing on the specified space. (b). The Reference should be properly cited "in text" i.e., in 2.2.2. Identification of bacteria, the reference "Khan et al. 16" the year is missing. (c) All "in text citation" should be accurately match with "Reference" and vice versa</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sumit Kumar Dubey
Department, University & Country	D.L.S. P.G. College, India