

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_110213 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | AMMONIUM MOLYBDATE : A Potential Bioregulator for Improving Curd Yield and Quality in Broccoli |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes, the manuscript is well written, but it needs more justification for the experiment.</p> <p>Yes, but something fishy to understand.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but the author has to mention methodology more effective.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Sufficient</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The manuscript is well written. But way of approaching is little bit difficult to understand. The study's use of foliar feeding of micronutrients and plant growth regulators to enhance broccoli yield and quality was commended for its innovative approach to improving crop production. The positive impact of ammonium molybdate on curd yield, diameter, length, and total yield per plot was highlighted as a significant finding with practical implications for broccoli cultivation. The study's findings were appreciated for their potential to contribute to advancements in agricultural practices, particularly in the context of enhancing the productivity and quality of broccoli crops.</p> <p>Author has quoted old references so, has to concentrate on references for methodology. Additionally, the references cited are not directly related to the specific findings of the study, which may weaken the credibility of the research. The use of abbreviations and technical terms without clear explanations may make it difficult for readers to understand the content. Furthermore, the manuscript could benefit from a more detailed discussion of the limitations of the study and potential areas for further research.</p> <p>Overall, the manuscript was positively received for its contributions to the understanding and improvement of broccoli cultivation through innovative foliar feeding techniques.</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Name: | Ramalingappa |
| Department, University & Country | Davangere University, India |