

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107604
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Water Stress and Paclobutrazole on Proline and Total Antioxidant Activity in Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is a tool and give some insight on water stress and antioxidant activity, triggered by this stress and not by Paclobutrazole, this is contrary to other previous studies in this specie as well other. For that it is important for the scientific community, to add in the conclusion a better discussion regarding these differences, which can be due to different experimentation settings.</p> <p>The title, should show these results discrepancies with other publications.</p> <p>Need some information regarding the results.</p> <p>For the reading the structure is appropriate, I would define DAS, as it's really not introduce in the text as well explain why focus on proline and not other.</p> <p>Regarding correct, I would introduce Paclobutrazole it mechanism and toxicity or not to humans, as well as proline importance to be measure.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and updated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, only for few grammar it is suitable for international communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It should be corrected and clarified these few comments, and it is important as to know different experimental setting with this specie.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veronica L. Martinez Maignac
Department, University & Country	Argentina