

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_109233
Title of the Manuscript:	The challenges and issues of farmer producer organizations in India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I would suggest a modification. Instead of "issues", I would recommend "opportunities".</p> <p>No, as the abstract does not include the study aim, materials and methods.</p> <p>It is hard to assess that as it is not possible to judge that the structure suits the aims of the study.</p> <p>No, it is not. See: general comments.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality is good but the presentation of the text is not suitable – no list of bullet points but a whole paragraph – statement after statement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper cannot be treated as a scientific text. There are no clearly mentioned study methods and materials as well as study aims. There are references at the end of the paper but there are no references to them in the body of the text so it is not clear which reference refers to which statements.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barbara Wieliczko
Department, University & Country	Institute for Rural and Agricultural Development, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland