

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_110275
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF TANNIN EXTRACT FROM CAPE GOOSEBERRY ROOT <i>Physalis peruviana</i> AS EGG DE-ADHESION AGENT DURING ARTIFICIAL PROPAGATION OF AFRICAN CATFISH <i>Clarias gariepinus</i>
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the community due to the emergence of new technology and the researcher/s uses locally available inputs for their analysis (CAPE GOOSEBERRY ROOT).</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable, but the title is very long, there for the researcher/s should be minimize or make it short and SMART if they can.</p> <p>3. The abstract is good but, it is very short which leads poor information delivery to the readers. That means the title and the abstract are imbalanced.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript is good or appropriate</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Almost references are recent, but some of the references should be modified or updated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes the language of quality of the article is suitable for scholars cominication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mosa Mitiku Asmani
Department, University & Country	Wolkite University, Ethiopia