

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_109960</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Construction Challenges of Uhuru II Wagon Vessel by Local Engineers under Expatriate Training and Supervision in the Lake Victoria Basin Kisumu, Kenya</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the manuscript is very good and useful to the scientific community of marine ecosystem</b></p> <p><b>Alternative Title, "A case study on the challenges encountered by local Engineers during the construction of the first vessel in the lake, Victoria Basin, Kenya"</b></p> <p><b>Yes, it is so informative</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the sections and structure of the entire manuscript is are appropriate</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the manuscript is correct</b></p> <p><b>Yes, they are sufficient</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is very simple and suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anduri Sreenivasulu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Seshadri Rao Gudlavalleru Engineering College, India</b>