

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_110964
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Bio-NPK and organic manures on growth, yield and economics of cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis L.) var. Pusa Snowball K 1”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>In my opinion the manuscript is important for scientific community. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript has sufficient scientific background. References are sufficient.</p> <p>-I'm not sure it indicates in the title: var. Pusa Snowball K 1”</p> <p>-I suggest eliminating in the abstract :on “Effect of Bio-NPK and organic manures on growth, yield and quality of cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis L.) var. Pusa Snowball K 1”</p> <p>-In the introduction it appears: The soil should be fertile, well supplied with organic matter and well-drained. Have you verified this in the case study?</p> <p>-The objectives are not explicit in the introduction section.</p> <p>-Fragment the long sentence of the introduction into 2 or more sentences.</p> <p>- In Table 1 it is convenient to indicate what the acronyms are.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I am not an expert in the English language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Jiménez-Ballesta
Department, University & Country	Autónoma University of Madrid, Spain