

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_110899
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of different formulations of biofertilizer consortia (NPK &NPKZn) on proximate nutritional composition of maize hybrid (Co H (M) 8) grains
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is quite important for the scientific community</p> <p>The title is not correct, NPK and NPKZn should not be included in the title, because it is not a biofertilizer consortium, it should be written in the title Azospirillum, phosphobacteria, potash bacteria and zinc solubilizing bacteria</p> <p>It is not clear what the dosage and concentration of application will be for the treatment of liquid and powder formulas</p> <p>The conclusion is not clear, because the research objective must be answered, not explained again in the conclusion. The narrative of the influence of liquid and powder formulations from the NPK and NPKZn biofertilizer consortium is inaccurate because NPK and NPKZn are not biofertilizers</p> <p>Correct, but the narrative contained in the title and conclusion which mentions the Biological Fertilizer Consortium (NPK & NPKZn) needs to be corrected</p> <p>The latest references and in accordance with the article are lacking, because the references for the last five years are very less, only 6%</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Already appropriate</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The narrative in the title and conclusion "Biological Fertilizer Consortium (NPK & NPKZn)" needs to be corrected because NPK & NPKZn are not biological fertilizers. NPK & NPKZn are the objects of this experimental treatment</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Ketut Ngawit
Department, University & Country	Mataram University, Indonesia