

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_110743 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessment of Lethal Dose (LD50) using gamma rays and its impact on seed germination and seedling survival in Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni cv. CIM-Madhu |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Of course, the manuscript is crucial for scientific community!</p> <p>2. Yes but, it needs some modification instead of Gamma ray Insert device name which is emit the ray.</p> <p>3. Probably it is compressive but, at the end of the abstract please add clear conclusions.</p> <p>4. The structure is good but, in the discussion part the writer should consider the others works as reference to compare with his work and the recommendation should be added.</p> <p>5. Yes! If ANOVA was used form the paper, then the table should have mean and standard deviation should be incorporated for readers. In addition to this which software were used to plot the data for discussion?</p> <p>6. I recommended that the reference citation should use a tool that is endnote from Google scholar.</p> <p>7.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>It is preferable and audible paper if the writer accept and ready to correct comments delivered from the stakeholders</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Check the reference latest and properly cited, Recommendation must put on the manuscript Discuss the result with others published paper</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name: | Asmamaw Tsega Alemu |
| Department, University & Country | Injibara University, Ethiopia |