

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110859
Title of the Manuscript:	Perspectives on Climate-Smart Agriculture towards Sustainable Food Production
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The review manuscript is important for the scientific community since it tries to explore a critical and timely global issue. However, it has not efficiently addressed the issue and has not clearly identified the outcome of the review study. The author should hold the concepts and try to explore the impact or contribution of CSA in sustainable food production. This way the manuscript can have substance information. Otherwise it's more of personal understanding over the issue which will not have any contribution or intervention.</p> <p>Better to remove the term "perspective" and consider to replace with a term to show the contribution of CSA in sustainable food production.</p> <p>The paper lacks appropriate structure such as; the author has not included the method of the review study and the result and discussion is not clearly identified. The sub-sections are not appropriate. Irrelevant sub-headings and numbering It is not efficient to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>The timing of the given reference is quite accepted, however it writing lacks quality. Please follow a proper reference writing styles such as APA format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English quality in terms of scientific communication is okay.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Generally the manuscript give general information about CSA and its pillars and other terms indicated, but it really lacks explanation and arguments on how CSA contribute towards sustainable food production.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tsion Fikadu
Department, University & Country	Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia