

**ReviewForm 1.7**

JournalName:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_IJECC_109982</b>
TitleoftheManuscript:	<b>Efficacyofdifferentessentialoilsagainstnymphaphid(Aphisraccivora Koch)ingreengram(VignaradiataL.)</b>
Typeofthe Article	<b>OriginalResearchArticle</b>

**ReviewForm 1.7**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, this can be an important manuscript for the scientific community as it has not stated that is it the respiratory receptors or the digestive receptors that carry a heavier weight in this study. As essential oils can have affect both... so , the paper quote a reference on digestive explanation but not on respirations. If inhalation was taken into account, the order might be changed.</p> <p>As for the title, I would suggest to change essential oils to "Aromatics".. For example, the article has not mentioned if they are 100% pure essential oils. And, the common form of need oil is a carrier oil in US although it contains traces of essential oils... so this needs to be clarified... whether it is infused oils? Carrier oils? And, latin names of them.</p> <p>Clear, but it would be more proper if more inferences/implications can be drawn from the tables as I think as a research paper words should be more lengthy. And, to make only minor changes, I would suggest to add "future lab work/research direction..." In such, the authors can only make minor changes but includes what they are lacking in that.</p> <p>Yes, and it seems the article hopes to build upon them with its originality.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Fai Chan
Department, University & Country	USA