

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_110178
Title of the Manuscript:	THE WORLDVIEW OF A MODERN SCIENTIST: THE UNIVERSE CONCEPT IN THE CONTEXT OF CROSSCULTURAL COMMUNICATION
Type of the Article	Article Text

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript of this article is very important because it discusses the universe in a cross-cultural perspective, which is very rarely known by people.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate and interesting enough and sparks curiosity for readers to find out the content</p> <p>3. The abstract in the article is quite comprehensive, it just needs to be explained in more detail in the research method section it uses.</p> <p>4. The discussion in the article script is good enough, it just needs to be made into sub-subsections so that readers are more interested.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is very scientific and very interesting.</p> <p>6. The references used in this article are good enough, it would just be better if they were added to 25/30 references to make what has been found even stronger.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language used in the script is in accordance with scientific communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In general, the article script is very good, it only needs a few parts to be revised and if possible use tools such as mendeley or zetero to make references and bibliography</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abid Nurhuda
Department, University & Country	University of Nahdlatul Ulama Surakarta, Indonesia