

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_109813</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Implementation of Learning Writing Text of “Observation Results Reports” Using the Project Based Learning Model in Class VII Junior High School Students</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Manuscript Importance: The manuscript is deemed important for the scientific community. However, it requires revisions to enhance its overall quality.</li> <li>Title Suitability: The title of the article is deemed suitable.</li> <li>Comprehensiveness of Abstract: While the abstract is comprehensive, it is suggested to include additional information for a more detailed overview.</li> <li>Appropriateness of Subsections and Structure: The subsections and overall structure are appropriate, but revisions are needed to improve clarity and organization.</li> <li>Scientific Correctness: The manuscript is considered scientifically correct, but there are areas that require revision for accuracy and precision.</li> <li>References Sufficiency and Recency: The references are deemed sufficient and recent, but a revision is recommended to ensure accuracy and relevance. Additionally, consider incorporating more recent references to strengthen the scholarly foundation.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Reviewer Comment: The language and English quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, the suggestion for revision is noted. It would be beneficial for the author to receive more specific feedback on areas that need improvement. This could include examples of unclear phrasing, grammatical issues, or any aspects that may affect the overall readability and clarity of the manuscript. Providing detailed guidance will assist the author in making focused revisions to enhance the language quality of the article.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Comment on Abstract: The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the research on the implementation of learning writing text using the Project-Based Learning Model in Class VII Junior High School students. However, it would be beneficial for the author to enhance the abstract by including more details about the reliability and validity of the research instrumentation. Providing insights into the measures taken to ensure the trustworthiness and accuracy of the research tools will strengthen the overall credibility of the study. Consider incorporating specific information on how reliability and validity were addressed to give readers a clearer understanding of the methodological rigor employed in the research.</p> <p>Comment on Introduction: The introduction provides a decent starting point for the research, but it could benefit from additional citations, particularly in the first paragraph, to support the statements made. Integrating relevant literature and studies will strengthen the academic foundation of the introduction. Moreover, it is advisable to include a more explicit background and emphasize the importance of the problem under investigation. Providing context for the study and articulating why the problem is significant will engage readers and underscore the relevance of the research. Additionally, consider explicitly stating the research objective(s) at the end of the introduction to</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>give readers a clear understanding of the study's focus and purpose. This will help set the stage for the subsequent sections of the research paper.</p> <p><b>Comment on Literature Review:</b> It is strongly recommended to include a dedicated section for a comprehensive literature review in the manuscript. Integrating relevant literature and discussing related research studies will provide a solid theoretical framework for the current study. This will not only demonstrate the author's familiarity with existing scholarship but also help readers contextualize the research within the broader academic discourse. Ensure that the literature review addresses key themes, theories, and methodologies relevant to the research topic. By synthesizing and critically analyzing existing knowledge, the author can establish the gap in the literature that the current study aims to fill. Incorporating this essential section will enhance the overall scholarly quality of the manuscript and contribute to the academic rigor of the research.</p> <p><b>Comment on Methodology:</b> The methodology section would greatly benefit from the inclusion of more detailed information, particularly regarding the population and sampling. Clearly defining the population under study and elaborating on the sampling method employed will enhance the transparency and reproducibility of the research. Moreover, it is crucial to provide a thorough explanation of how the research instrumentation was developed, ensuring transparency in the process. This includes addressing the reliability and validity of each instrument used in data collection. Describing the measures taken to establish the reliability and validity of the tools will strengthen the methodological rigor of the study. Consider offering concrete examples, such as an observation form or interview questions, to illustrate how these instruments were structured. This not only provides clarity to readers but also serves as a practical guide for researchers interested in replicating or adapting the study. By incorporating these elements, the methodology section will be more comprehensive and robust, contributing to the overall quality of the research.</p> <p><b>Comment on Results and Discussion:</b> In the results section, it is advisable to organize and present the findings in a manner that aligns with the research questions or objectives. This will facilitate clarity and make it easier for readers to comprehend the outcomes. Additionally, consider separating the discussion part from the results section to maintain a structured and coherent flow in the manuscript. Ensure that any abbreviations used are accompanied by their full names to enhance reader understanding. This practice contributes to the accessibility of the content, especially for those less familiar with specific abbreviations. In the discussion section, focus on elaborating on the main points derived from the results and connect them explicitly to the related research. Providing a comprehensive discussion that integrates findings with existing literature will enrich the interpretation and contribute to the overall scholarly depth of the study. By making these adjustments, the results and discussion sections will become more reader-friendly, cohesive, and academically robust.</p> <p><b>Comment on Conclusion:</b> In the conclusion section, it is crucial to succinctly summarize the main points derived from the new knowledge generated by the study. Emphasize how these findings align with and contribute to achieving the research objectives. Clearly articulating the novel insights gained from the research will provide a strong closing statement and leave a lasting impact on the reader. Ensure that the conclusion directly addresses each research objective, reinforcing the study's purpose and significance. This will bring a sense of coherence to the entire manuscript and highlight the study's contributions to the existing body of knowledge. By adhering to these recommendations, the conclusion section will serve as a powerful and conclusive summary of the research, leaving readers with a clear understanding of the study's outcomes and their implications.</p> <p><b>Comment on References:</b></p>	
--	--	--

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>It is essential to thoroughly recheck the references to ensure accuracy and currency. Verify whether each reference is correct, and if any are inactive, consider removing them. Furthermore, to enhance the scholarly credibility of the manuscript, it is recommended to include references from the journal in which the manuscript is intended for submission. Aim to incorporate at least three references from the target journal to align the study with the existing research within that specific academic context. This practice will strengthen the manuscript's relevance and contribute to its overall academic integrity.</p>	
--	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bundit Anuyahong</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Thai-Nichi institute of Technology, Thailand</b>