

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_110835
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Corporate Financial Performance: Implementation of Corporate Governance and Financial Ratios
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it contributes valuable insights to readers interested in investing in companies specially in logistics. The research provides a reference point and new perspectives, offering information in the form of signals or instructions from each company. This information can help investors assess management by considering factors such as policymakers, low debt levels, high profits and assets, and stable sales growth each year. Overall, the manuscript enhances the body of knowledge in this area.</li> <li>2. The title of the article needs improvement. Since the focus is specifically on the transportation and logistics sector, the title should reflect this more explicitly.</li> <li>3. The abstract is comprehensive, but there is room for improvement to enhance its professionalism.</li> <li>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, the conclusion section needs improvement. It should highlight the study's conclusions in a more comprehensive manner and provide practical implications derived from the research.</li> <li>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> <li>6. While the references are sufficient, it is advisable to include more recent references to strengthen the manuscript's currency and relevance. Additional recent references in the same field would be beneficial and could be added to enhance the overall quality of the literature review.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Yes it is fine, but author is advised to revise it thoroughly and improve grammar and sentence structure</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Ashar Asdullah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of South Asia, Pakistan</b>