

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_110835
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Corporate Financial Performance: Implementation of Corporate Governance and Financial Ratios
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer' s comment	Author' s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The research meets the criteria of research urgency because the transportation sector is a determinant of accelerating the progress of a region. One of the reasons for the community's economic disparity is due to the unavailability of adequate transportation in the area. The transportation industry can also develop and improve the quality of service to the community if it has high profitability so that it can add better service facilities and assets.</p> <p>2. The research title should be narrowed down (for example for transportation companies in Indonesia).</p> <p>3. Abstract is quite good, it is necessary to examine the use of the terms can not and does not so as not to lead to unscientific conclusions.</p> <p>4. The structure of the article is appropriate</p> <p>5. It is better to add the ROE (Return on Equity) indicator to the analysis method to make it more scientific and not just use one indicator (ROA) alone.</p> <p>6. References are appropriate enough</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs some corrections for better grammar and selection of English terms or vocabulary. There is one formula that is still in Indonesian. Pay attention to the use of the words can not and does not because it greatly affects the scientificity of the results of this research.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Didiek Hardiyanto Soegiantoro
Department, University & Country	Immanuel Christian University, Indonesia