

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_111104
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors affecting the agripreneurial behaviour of rural youth involved in mushroom as an enterprise
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Manuscript: “Factors affecting the agripreneurial behaviour of rural youth involved in mushroom as an enterprise”.</p> <p>The paper presents a study to understand the agripreneurial behavior of rural youths and evaluate their perception under successful and discontinued enterprises by linking their demographics and behavioral components. Below are the recommended revisions to be made. Some major problems are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The title needs to be revised in such a way to emphasize what it means by mushroom. Is that mushroom cultivation or mushroom production? There is a need to add one more word after mushroom. Spelling typos and grammar mistakes are found. For example: Grammar typo – the word ‘agripreneurialbehaviour’ was used throughout the paper and there is a need to separate the word into ‘agripreneurial’ and ‘behavior’. Grammar typo - Shivacharan et al. (2015) and Baindha et al. (2019). It is et al. In third paragraph of the correlation analysis, no need ‘that’ after ‘the table further shows’. Those are just a few examples and please check those in the whole paper. In keywords, ‘positively and significantly related’ was used which is inappropriate. The keywords should be in noun form, not in adjectives. Please try to add a few as keywords should be at least five. In the introduction mentioning (Herrington et al, 2008), it is et al., not et al. A punctuation mark (.) is missing. In (Ngatse-Ipangui and Dassah 2019), a comma (,) is missing after Dassah. In (Kimmitt et al. 2020), a comma is missing after et al. and hence it is recommended the author(s) go through the whole paper and check again the citation format in the text. In the introduction, the ARYA initiative and the agri-entrepreneurial mindset was briefed. But there is a need to highlight why the author(s) wants to emphasize specifically on mushroom cultivation. What is special about the mushroom than other agri-products? There is a need to validate the measuring scale of seven components: opportunity identification, risk taking, resource mobilization, innovativeness, marketing, adaptability and networking. Did the author(s) take those from the previous research? What are the selection criteria? There is a need to clarify how the scoring range of 48 to 240 was obtained and calculated. The definition and meaning of each range are not understandable to the readers. What is the lowest and highest possible score? How does those seven components link the score of 48 or 240, why the minimum score is 48 and not 0, for example. List and table names were written above the table whereas the figure name was written below the figure. Those should be aligned in the same format. In the figure description of agripreneurial behavior, N=17 and N=23, those are not the percentage as the author(s) mentioned that 40 sample size was included in the study, but the score is in percentage. So, it can be written in a way to be clearer such as ‘agripreneurial behavior of successful mushroom enterprise (measured in % at N=17) When talking about ‘r value’ under discontinued enterprise, there is a need to describe below the table the abbreviated meaning of ‘NS’ as in age and education. Not all readers know what NS is. It would be better if the author(s) can add the survey questionnaires or the scoring method of the components under appendix. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes but the author(s) need to carefully go through the spelling and grammar mistakes as mentioned above.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Win Naing Soe
Department, University & Country	University College, Myanmar