

**ReviewForm1.7**

JournalName:	<b>JournalofMaterialsScienceResearchandReviews</b>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_JMSRR_109897</b>
TitleoftheManuscript:	<b>BiologicalInvestigationofIn-VitroAnti-inflammatory,Antifungal,Anti-Arthritic,Thrombolytic,MembraneStabilizinganIn-vivoAcuteToxicologicalactivityof <i>Launaeaasplenifolia</i></b>
TypeoftheArticle	<b>OriginalResearchArticle</b>

**ReviewForm1.7**

**PART1:ReviewComments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The results of research on Biological Investigation of In-Vitro Anti-inflammatory, Antifungal, Anti-Arthritic, Thrombolytic, Membrane Stabilizing an In-vivo Acute Toxicological activity of <i>Launaea asplenifolia</i> are worthy of consideration for publication because they contain good research studies and are supported by good data and analysis.</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate to describe what was done in the research described in this article.</p> <p>3. The abstract presented represents a brief description of the background of the objectives, processes carried out, methods, results, and conclusions of the research carried out.</p> <p>4. already appropriate</p> <p>5. already appropriate</p> <p>6. The references used in writing this manuscript mostly use references from more than the last 5 years. It is necessary to add the latest references from at least the last 5 years to this manuscript so that the resulting manuscript is better.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. It is appropriate, but in some writing it needs to be corrected, such as the presentation of data in the table, which is not consistent in the form of presentation (the data form of Table 1 is the same as Table 6, Table 2, Table 3, Table 4 is the same as Table 5, and Table 7 is different). It should be made in the same shape or pattern as the journal template.</p> <p>2. Concentration units have not been written, as in Table 2, Table 3, and Table 5.</p> <p>3. In Fig. 2, it is better to delete the information in the image title in the box, because it has been explained in the information below.</p> <p>4. The presentation in the reference is aligned right-left.</p> <p>5. Please double-check the accuracy of the sentences you're using and use additional applications to double-check the proofreading of this article.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kasta Gurning</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kesehatan, Indonesia</b>