

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_110735</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PREDICTION OF THE EXTENT OF FLOOD INDICATORS USED FROM THE SLOPE MAP IN THE RIVER FLOW AREA IN THE CITY OF SAMARINDA, EAST KALIMANTAN</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Comments <b>MANDATORY REVISION</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Are manuscripts important to the scientific community?</b> (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Does the title of the article match?</b> (If not, suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and up-to-date? If you have additional reference suggestions, mention them in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Yes, this manuscript is important enough to add insight and development of science related to methods for predicting floods in river areas</b></p> <p><b>The title of the article is not in accordance with the content and analysis, in the title the author wants a prediction. However, it has not been explained in detail how the prediction results</b></p> <p><b>The abstract has not explained the results obtained in the form of predictions of floods that occur, only explains the land cover in the river area</b></p> <p><b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript have not shown the desired results, it is still too concise. It is necessary to clarify the systematic structure so that it can provide appropriate analysis results</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript is not scientifically correct, the use of the Library is not in accordance with the bibliography written. The method used is not in accordance with what is written in the data and results analysis section.</b></p> <p><b>References have not used the latest sources, there are only 3 references used in the last 3 years. Not much use of journal articles as a source of reference.</b></p> <p><b>This article can actually be published, but there are many things that the author needs to complete and add, especially in sharpening the analysis and using methods that must be in accordance with the purpose to be researched. Map usage is not yet in English</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	No, it's not	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sony Nugratama Hijrawadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Indonesia</b>