

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_109700
Title of the Manuscript:	THE SERO-PREVALENCE OF CHLAMYDIOSIS AMONG HIV PATIENTS AND HEALTHY FEMALE VOLUNTEERS IN PORT-HARCOURT, RIVERS STATE.
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is well addressed, organized and informative regarding the distribution of chlamydia infection among the group of HIV patients and healthy ones. The author has also tried to see the association of some risk factors; to observe either these factors exacerbate the disease or not.</p> <p>1. The title is suitable and I have indicated on the manuscript what to correct.</p> <p>2. Yes, the abstract is written in comprehensive manner addressing the aim of the study, methods used to investigate, results and conclusion of the researcher</p> <p>3. Yes, the only thing that I want to comment is sample size and sampling technique should be scientifically determined in case of prevalence studies to avoid the chance for biasness occurrences.</p> <p>4. Yes!</p> <p>5. Regarding the references the only thing that I want to comment is that, the author should follow the journal guideline for each punctuation and placement for year of publication. Almost all references are recently cited.</p> <p>(In general, the content of the manuscript is sound in respective to the title and few comments were forwarded using track change in the manuscript for further clarity and correction.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. It is fit for scholarly.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is Informative and scientifically sound. However, few comments that were forwarded to the author using the track change should need to be well addressed.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Demeke Zewde</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Animal Health Institute, Ethiopia</b>