

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_105061</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Different levels and Times of Nitrogen Application on Grain Quality, NPK content&amp;Uptakein Sweet corn (Zea mays L. saccharata)</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes. However, it needs many corrections and additions of information, such as calculation of the economic dose of N fertilizer, expansion of references, which must be updated, citation in Material and Methods of evaluations that were carried out, use of average test (Tuckey or Duncan) data for comparison of treatments, broader discussion of the results and a more emphatic conclusion regarding the results found.</p> <p>2. Not. Change the title: 'Effect of Different Levels and Times of Nitrogen Application on Grain and Forage Quality of Sweet Corn (<i>Zea mays</i> L. <i>saccharata</i>)'</p> <p>3. Yes, but with the suggestions for changes, the summary should be quite alphabetized.</p> <p>4. No, because I recommend including the tables as soon as they are cited for the first time in the manuscript.</p> <p>5. Not yet, but it could be correct if the authors consider the suggested changes in the manuscript.</p> <p>6. Absolutely not. There are many up-to-date references on the subject and authors need to update the content in the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The recommendation is that the authors consult the manuscript with the suggestions that will be listed in the manuscript, which will be attached.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>José Carlos Fialho de Resende</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Brazil</b>