

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_110414
Title of the Manuscript:	Body weight, hematological and biochemical parameters of winstar rats fed with chia seed concentrate
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This research has significant potential for development in the field of health. Currently, the utilization of functional foods is recognized as one of the potentials that can be applied to various diseases. Chia seeds are among the food ingredients with such potential. The utilization of chia seeds in controlling body weight, blood glucose, lipid profile, and improving hematological parameters is a positive finding.</p> <p>2. Body weight, hematological and biochemical parameters of <b>wistar</b> rats fed with chia seed concentrate</p> <p>3. The research objectives and research methods are unclear.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. the references used are not up to date. Many reference years are below 2015</p> <p>7. I have included all the comments in the Ms file. Word.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It's good	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	I have included all the comments in the Ms file. Word.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sudana Fatahillah Pasaribu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institut Kesehatan Helvetia, Indonesia</b>