

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_110532
Title of the Manuscript:	An Overview of the Incidences of Subcutaneous Emphysema Occurring Post-Tonsillectomy in the Pediatric Population
Type of the Article	A Technical Report based on Research

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript seems important for scientific community as per the title. However, the study lacks some key features in terms of design and results are not presented with data, tables, and figures. No statistical tool is used and there is no mention of 'p' value. Neither the Ethical approval no. of IRB is given.</p> <p>The title is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive. However, the contents did not support the study due to lack of data.</p> <p>The subsections and structures are not up to the mark.</p> <p>The manuscript needs a serious major revision to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>The no. of references is too meagre. The authors should do extensive literature search and use substantial amount of clinical data in order to draw meaningful conclusions for such manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language seems correct.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amanpreet Kaur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Delhi Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research University, India</b>