

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_110228
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the diversity of metazoan parasites of <i>Sarotherodon melanotheron</i> (Cichlidae) from inland waters in Southern Benin (west Africa)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The Manuscript is important for scientific community as it studied the diversity of metazoan parasites of <i>Sarotherodon melanotheron</i> (Cichlidae) from inland waters in Southern Benin. It also looked at the relationship between the prevalence of the studied parasites and water physicochemical parameters.</p> <p>Article title is suitable.</p> <p>Abstract is okay, but place and duration of study and the conclusion were not included in the abstract</p> <p>Subsections are appropriate</p> <p>Manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>References are not very recent. I suggest the addition of recent references to the paper</p> <p>The idea and general design of paper is good and the work put in to the study is commendable. But the written English quality of the paper makes it a little difficult to follow through the paper. I suggest better and comprehensible English be used in writing the paper. Authors need to refer and follow the Journals guidelines or instructions for authors and note the intext citation, line spacing and font size as instructed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language is not suitable for scholarly communication. The authors will have to get an editor to work on the manuscript</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Great Job! but the reader needs to be drawn into the paper to enjoy and easily understand reading the paper.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patience Chwe Igeh
Department, University & Country	Plateau State University, Nigeria