

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_110854
Title of the Manuscript:	Safety and Proven Risk Assessment on the Release of Wolbachia-Inserted Aedes aegypti: Lesson Learned from the Partial Resistance of Some Public Figure and the Community
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, It is suitable for the scientific community. Concise the title. Abstract doesn't contain a conclusion. Under the misinformation section, a YouTube link has been added instead you can cite the link of the video. Do not add the link in the manuscript. Keep it under the section of reference. Add the following sections acknowledgment, funding, ethical statements, and conflict of interest. A manuscript will look better if you add appropriate tables and figures under the suitable subheading. Tables and figures are missing in the manuscript. There are enough references. References should be as per the Journal guidelines. Remove the month and date of publication from the references. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Grammar mistakes are found.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Nil.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramdas Bhat
Department, University & Country	Srinivas College of Pharmacy, India