

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_110346
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Students' Satisfaction with E-learning for English Study Based on UTAUT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Importance for the Scientific Community: This manuscript is important for the scientific community, particularly for those involved in educational technology and language learning. It contributes to the understanding of factors influencing student satisfaction in E-learning environments, especially for English language study. The use of the UTAUT model offers a structured approach to analyze these factors, which can be beneficial for improving E-learning systems and pedagogical strategies.</p> <p>Suitability of the Title: The title "Assessing Students' Satisfaction with E-learning for English Study Based on UTAUT" is suitable as it clearly conveys the focus and scope of the study. It accurately reflects the manuscript's content and methodology.</p> <p>Comprehensiveness of the Abstract: The abstract appears comprehensive. It succinctly summarizes the study's objectives, methodology (including the sample size and analysis technique), key findings, and the significance of the six constructs in explaining satisfaction with E-learning for English study.</p> <p>Appropriateness of Subsections and Structure: The manuscript's structure, with sections including Introduction, Literature Review, Methodology, Results, Discussion, and Conclusion, is appropriate for an academic research article. This organization aids in clearly presenting the research process and findings.</p> <p>Scientific Accuracy: Based on the provided summary, the manuscript seems scientifically correct. The use of structural equation modeling for data analysis is appropriate for the type of research conducted.</p> <p>References: The references appear to be sufficient and recent, reflecting relevant literature in the field. However, including more comparative studies from different cultural or educational contexts could enhance the study's depth.</p> <p>Additional Suggestions/Comments:</p> <p>Generalizability of Findings: The study's findings are based on data from a single university, which might limit their generalizability. Future studies could include a more diverse sample from multiple institutions.</p> <p>Methodological Details: More detailed information about the survey design and questionnaires could enhance the manuscript's robustness.</p> <p>Practical Implications: The study could benefit from a more detailed discussion on the practical implications of the findings for E-learning system designers and educators.</p> <p>Technological Aspects: Considering the rapid evolution of E-learning technologies, discussing the potential impact of emerging technologies on student satisfaction might be beneficial.</p> <p>Cultural Context: Since the study is conducted in a specific cultural context (China), acknowledging how cultural factors might influence the results would be valuable.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Based on the provided content, the language and English quality of the article appear suitable for scholarly communication. The text is coherent, clear, and well-structured, adhering to the standards typically expected in academic writing. The use of technical terms is appropriate for the subject matter, and the sentences are well-constructed, facilitating ease of understanding.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	George Pashev
Department, University & Country	University of Plovdiv "PaisiiHilendarski", Bulgaria