

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJEE_110457</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Land use and land cover change study using RS-GIS and its impact on biodiversity - Study from Arunachal Pradesh (India)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>		
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Clarity and Organization:</b>  The paper lacks a clear structure, making it challenging for readers to follow the argument. The introduction does not effectively set the stage for the research, and the conclusion fails to summarize key findings.</li> <li>2. <b>Thesis and Research Question:</b>  The main thesis or research question is unclear or inadequately defined. It is unclear how the research question contributes to the existing body of knowledge.</li> <li>3. <b>Literature Review:</b>  The literature review is insufficient, outdated, or fails to connect prior research to the current study. Key studies or theories relevant to the topic are missing.</li> <li>4. <b>Methodology:</b>  The methodology section is poorly described or lacks essential details. The research design may not be appropriate for answering the research question. Sampling methods, data collection, and</li> </ol>	

## Review Form 1.7

	<p>data analysis are inadequately explained.</p> <p><b>5. Results and Analysis:</b></p> <p>The presentation of results is unclear, and important data may be missing. The analysis is superficial, lacking depth or critical evaluation. Findings are not effectively linked back to the research question or hypothesis.</p> <p><b>6. Discussion:</b></p> <p>The discussion section may lack depth and fail to explore the implications of the findings. The conclusions drawn do not necessarily align with the presented evidence.</p> <p><b>7. Writing Style and Language:</b></p> <p>The paper contains grammatical errors, awkward phrasing, or unclear sentences. The writing style may be overly complex or too informal for an academic audience.</p> <p><b>8. Critical Thinking:</b></p> <p>The paper lacks critical thinking and original insights. The author may not have adequately considered alternative perspectives or counterarguments.</p> <p><b>9. Overall Quality:</b></p> <p>The paper may lack a professional and scholarly tone. Attention to detail, such as proper formatting and adherence to guidelines, is lacking. In start of the abstract, add introductory sentences and then problem statement of the manuscript, after that you can tell about aim and objective of the study,</p>	
--	--	--

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhammad Jabbar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Malaya, Malaysia</b>