

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_110560
Title of the Manuscript:	FTIR and XRD Characterization of the Cements Available in Commercial Market of
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, The authors characterize the cements.</p> <p>Yes, A part of the work is already published e.g. IR study of cements in Nepal. http://dx.doi.org/10.20431/2349-0403.0507004. But there is no correlation with this manuscript</p> <p>No, The abstract should describe objective and main result of the work. The abstract should be rewritten.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Not at all. The English language should be improved and free from grammatical mistakes. It is very difficult to understand this manuscript fully due to many grammatical errors. The author is advised to write in short sentence instead of long sentence. As the author may not be a well-known English speaker.</p> <p>The language quality is extremely poor.</p> <p>1- The full form of OPC should be written in first sentence where this abbreviation is used though it is written in latter part of the manuscript.</p> <p>2- Cement-carbon supercapacitor and conducting devices should be proved. Both the words are contrary to each other. Cement-carbon is an insulating material and never be an conducting devices. I do not know why the author used these words. These words are highly scientific. I advised they might remove these words if they do not have prove of it. They might write insulating materials.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Might be published after English language correction.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Trilochan Swain
Department, University & Country	Fakir Mohan University, India