

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_109690
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSOCIATION BETWEEN RISK FACTORS AND TRICHOMONIASIS AMONG WOMEN IN PORT HARCOURT RIVERS STATE ,NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Congratulations to the authors. the manuscript is extremely relevant for the scientific community and also for the population, since trichomoniasis is an STI spread worldwide and neglected in several countries. Furthermore, it is very important to take this information to the population, through, for example, extension projects and speeches, seeking to minimize the incidence and prevalence of this STI. Congrats to the authors. This title is abragent from to the article. It is according. Yes, but would it be possible to write in the abstract about the prevalence found in the study? In parts. The necessary changes were marked in the file. The sections and subsections of the methodology must be arranged so that the article is organized. Yes. References could be cited on the % incidence and prevalence of trichomoniasis, showing with data the relevance of the disease. I suggested the world health organization, but they could also be scientific articles. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> English is simple, but there is no need for English revision. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Title should be in font 18. ABSTRACT (Arial, Bold, 11 font, left aligned, caps);</p> <p>In abstract separate into parts (objectives, methodology, results and conclusion). If you have any doubts, there are archives of recently published articles that show this structure. For example: Methodology: Results: Conclusion:.....</p> <p>Keywords: Put four to eight keywords (font Arial, inclined, 10 font, justified);</p> <p>In introduction and other sessions arrange this formatation (...) INTRODUCTION (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS);</p> <p>Introduction:</p> <p>At the end of the first paragraph could be add some reference about % os incidence in alguns countries in development.</p> <p>“The vagina is the most common site of infection in women” Why? It may be related to the pH of a woman's vagina</p> <p>At the end of the second paragraph... The most recent study by the World Health Organization provides data on more frequent STIs, including TV. It would be interesting to mention these values.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>Materials and methods: Resolve the numbering and formatting of titles and subtitles of materials and methods. Examples were described in manuscript.</p> <p>Study design: Why were 450 women tested with urine and 200 were tested with vaginal swabs? Why wasn't this number the same? For example 300/300 or others;</p> <p>Ethical Consideration: Ethical approval obtained from Rivers State University Teaching Hospital (RSUTH) could be added in the supplementary material.</p> <p>Inclusion Criteria: If woman doesn't have relation sexual it's not participate of study? Describe.</p> <p>Results:</p> <p>I know it is not the focus of the work, but it would be interesting to mention the general prevalence of TV found in the study, this is data that makes the study multiplyable and with citation potential (in the abstract too).</p> <p>Discussion:</p> <p>The discussion can be further explored. There are several recent articles that show risk factors in other countries and other regions. Like the woman's own microbiota, which has not been reported: SHIPITSYNA, Elena et al. Bacterial vaginosis-associated vaginal microbiota is an age-independent risk factor for Chlamydia trachomatis, Mycoplasma genitalium and Trichomonas vaginalis infections in low-risk women, St. Petersburg, Russia. <i>European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases</i>, v. 39, n. 7, p. 1221-1230, 2020.</p> <p>Pregnancy and trichomonas: NYEMBA, Dorothy Chiwoniso et al. Prevalence, incidence and associated risk factors of STIs during pregnancy in South Africa. <i>Sexually transmitted infections</i>, v. 97, n. 5, p. 375-381, 2021.</p> <p>And others: PAYNE, Vincent Khan et al. Risk factors associated with prevalence of candida albicans, gardnerella vaginalis, and trichomonas vaginalis among women at the district hospital of dschang, west region, Cameroon. <i>International Journal of Microbiology</i>, v. 2020, 2020. FELIX, Thais Chimati et al. Evaluation of vulvovaginitis and hygiene habits of women attended in primary health care units of the family. International Journal of Women's Health, p. 49-57, 2020.</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <p>You could conclude by mentioning whether educational studies will be carried out and the importance of further studies on the topic.</p> <p>This necessary major revision about formatation and explore more in the discussion, add references e discussion about this tema. By resolving these pending issues, the article can be accepted!</p>	
--	---	--

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alexia Brauner De Mello
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal De Pelotas, Brasil