

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_110806
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Machine Reading Comprehension (MRC) problem using Machine learning techniques
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- The topic is important, but the manuscript needs more modifications and attention to presentation</p> <p>2- I suggest that the following title for the paper, which I consider more appropriate: " Optimizing Machine Reading Comprehension: A Comprehensive Analysis of Domain Adaptation and Multilingual Applications"</p> <p>3- It is necessary to avoid putting abbreviations in the abstract, such as (MRC) and (KNN), and to call the abbreviations with full names. Also, the keywords contain ten words, and I suggest that they consist of five words with a clearly clear meaning and connotation.</p> <p>4- I think it needs to make the appropriate adjustments</p> <p>5- I think more scientific details are needed for the manuscript to be effective</p> <p>6- Yes. The last part of the introduction paragraph should include the technology that will be presented in the manuscript. Also in the methodology paragraph, Algorithm 1 was mentioned, but it is not mentioned explicitly and clearly! . Question and answer forms, which are included in the form of images with a black background, are not clear at all and must be restructured and formatted clearly for the reader.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1- It requires extensive linguistic scrutiny, especially the conclusion paragraph, where the fourth sentence in particular did not exactly give the meaning intended to be conveyed</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In the discussion paragraph, in the second line specifically, there are empty brackets after the word generate, and the author did not explain to us exactly what is meant by them.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Hasan Alridha
Department, University & Country	Iraq