

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JSRR_110568
Title of the Manuscript:	Aren't Research Questions and Hypotheses Indispensable for Literature Research, too?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, it is. As studies on literature, particularly English literature develops well, the debate on the roles and the importance of research hypothesis and research questions in literature research is also important. Leave it to the particular researchers alone to decide whether it is necessary to include the component in their writing, scientific communities need to know the status of the debate up to date. 2. I would suggest that the author takes side in the debate, not leave it to the readers to decide from the very beginning. I would suggest, " The Importance of Hypothesis and Research Questions in Literature Research." 3. 'IMRAC' is the most common style used by many publishers, including this journal. The existing abstract may still miss the elements. 4. The current manuscript does not seem to follow the template of the journal. Restructuring is needed to meet the journal's standard. 5. The SDI-Paper-template, provided by the journal, says the submitted article should follow the journal template. This article needs to do the same thing. For example, it says that, "Provide a factual background, clearly defined problem, proposed solution, a brief literature survey and the scope and justification of the work done." The article puts the literature review (survey) in the research methodology. Other parts need to be put accordingly. The article does not seem to have investigated the issue thoroughly. More in-depth look is still in need of conducting. 6. As the topic is crucial for literature researchers and readers, a more thorough investigation supported by more important and pertinent references are necessary to improve the quality of the paper. 7. Additionally, the article will sound better when addressing the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> A. As mentioned in the title that the study deals with literature reseach, the background needs to adress the issue not only in the field of English literature but also in other literature fields, leaving the English literature as a case study. B. In 2.4 Research Design & Results, the author combines the two component which according to the journal template should be separated. C. Research Design (Research Methodology) is not written in details. Information about the variales and focus of the questionnaire is unclear. D. Reasons for selecting the respondents are not provided. E. The unclear charts were narrated. F. The discussion was not based data and not organized based on the four research questions proposed. To present a clear discussion, subtitles for this part may be important. G. Recommendation is provided within the discussion part? H. THIS ARTICLE SEEMS TO MISS SOME ELEMENST REQUESTED BY THE JOURNAL:ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS; COMPETING INTERESTS; AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS; ETC. <p>More pertinent and current references might be added. The author might consult well known journals on the field by different publishers.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The article still have many kanguage issues to deal with. In the Grammarly that I use, it says that the writing has almost 250 language issues to fix.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	<p>Although the topic raised by the article is important in literature research, the writing needs to be provided with thorough and well-organized information.</p> <p>The author of the article mentions that “The current investigation was directed by the subsequent research queries:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. What kind of qualitative research is literary research?2. How are research hypotheses and research questions related?3. How different are research hypotheses from axioms?4. How natural are hypotheses in literature research?” <p>These four questions need to be clarified in the results and elaborated in the discussion part. The findings are shown in charts without any information. The discussion is only the interpretation minus data from the survey. FGDs and/or in depth interviews with some key respondents might be significant.</p>	
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ferdinal
Department, University & Country	Universitas Andalas, Indonesia